



# ACS Housing Summary

Loma Linda

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>TOTALS</b>				
Total Population	21,862		1,449	High
Total Households	8,528		446	High
Total Housing Units	9,242		457	High
<b>OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VALUE</b>				
Total	3,396	100.0%	298	High
Less than \$10,000	47	1.4%	57	Low
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%	0	
\$15,000 to \$19,999	47	1.4%	43	Low
\$20,000 to \$24,999	19	0.6%	19	Low
\$25,000 to \$29,999	0	0.0%	0	
\$30,000 to \$34,999	18	0.5%	21	Low
\$35,000 to \$39,999	24	0.7%	21	Low
\$40,000 to \$49,999	49	1.4%	37	Low
\$50,000 to \$59,999	36	1.1%	24	Medium
\$60,000 to \$69,999	36	1.1%	19	Medium
\$70,000 to \$79,999	13	0.4%	16	Low
\$80,000 to \$89,999	34	1.0%	33	Low
\$90,000 to \$99,999	7	0.2%	18	Low
\$100,000 to \$124,999	20	0.6%	28	Low
\$125,000 to \$149,999	36	1.1%	26	Low
\$150,000 to \$174,999	128	3.8%	69	Medium
\$175,000 to \$199,999	42	1.2%	40	Low
\$200,000 to \$249,999	223	6.6%	87	Medium
\$250,000 to \$299,999	286	8.4%	110	Medium
\$300,000 to \$399,999	1,040	30.6%	215	Medium
\$400,000 to \$499,999	537	15.8%	149	Medium
\$500,000 to \$749,999	564	16.6%	146	Medium
\$750,000 to \$999,999	148	4.4%	77	Medium
\$1,000,000 or more	41	1.2%	38	Low
Median Home Value	\$360,817		N/A	
Average Home Value	N/A		N/A	
<b>OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS</b>				
Total	3,396	100.0%	298	High
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt	2,379	70.1%	281	High
Second mortgage only	230	6.8%	122	Medium
Home equity loan only	450	13.3%	127	Medium
Both second mortgage and home equity loan	40	1.2%	66	Low
No second mortgage and no home equity loan	1,658	48.8%	252	High
Housing units without a mortgage	1,018	30.0%	161	High
<b>AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS</b>				
Housing units with a mortgage	N/A		N/A	
Housing units without a mortgage	N/A		N/A	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



# ACS Housing Summary

Loma Linda

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT</b>				
Total	5,132	100.0%	404	High
With cash rent	4,971	96.9%	396	High
Less than \$100	8	0.2%	21	Low
\$100 to \$149	1	0.0%	28	Low
\$150 to \$199	0	0.0%	0	
\$200 to \$249	20	0.4%	21	Low
\$250 to \$299	20	0.4%	41	Low
\$300 to \$349	1	0.0%	16	Low
\$350 to \$399	7	0.1%	28	Low
\$400 to \$449	6	0.1%	16	Low
\$450 to \$499	47	0.9%	37	Low
\$500 to \$549	85	1.7%	76	Low
\$550 to \$599	146	2.8%	68	Medium
\$600 to \$649	228	4.4%	77	Medium
\$650 to \$699	214	4.2%	104	Medium
\$700 to \$749	287	5.6%	138	Medium
\$750 to \$799	269	5.2%	108	Medium
\$800 to \$899	610	11.9%	213	Medium
\$900 to \$999	758	14.8%	186	Medium
\$1,000 to \$1,249	1,107	21.6%	233	Medium
\$1,250 to \$1,499	563	11.0%	169	Medium
\$1,500 to \$1,999	386	7.5%	163	Medium
\$2,000 or more	209	4.1%	127	Medium
No cash rent	161	3.1%	107	Low
Median Contract Rent	\$971		N/A	
Average Contract Rent	N/A		N/A	
<b>RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF UTILITIES IN RENT</b>				
Total	5,132	100.0%	404	High
Pay extra for one or more utilities	4,552	88.7%	381	High
No extra payment for any utilities	580	11.3%	177	Medium
<b>HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>				
Total	9,242	100.0%	457	High
1, detached	4,192	45.4%	360	High
1, attached	877	9.5%	145	High
2	268	2.9%	120	Medium
3 or 4	936	10.1%	220	Medium
5 to 9	1,118	12.1%	258	Medium
10 to 19	600	6.5%	160	Medium
20 to 49	234	2.5%	93	Medium
50 or more	638	6.9%	143	Medium
Mobile home	329	3.6%	69	Medium
Boat, RV, van, etc.	50	0.5%	60	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



# ACS Housing Summary

Loma Linda

Cities in San Bernardino County














	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>				
Total	9,242	100.0%	457	High
Built 2005 or later	308	3.3%	100	Medium
Built 2000 to 2004	787	8.5%	201	Medium
Built 1990 to 1999	962	10.4%	208	Medium
Built 1980 to 1989	2,696	29.2%	291	High
Built 1970 to 1979	1,609	17.4%	262	High
Built 1960 to 1969	1,279	13.8%	290	Medium
Built 1950 to 1959	972	10.5%	205	Medium
Built 1940 to 1949	250	2.7%	106	Medium
Built 1939 or earlier	382	4.1%	125	Medium
Median Year Structure Built	1980		N/A	
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT</b>				
Total	8,528	100.0%	446	High
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	649	7.6%	153	Medium
Moved in 2000 to 2004	981	11.5%	211	Medium
Moved in 1990 to 1999	813	9.5%	149	High
Moved in 1980 to 1989	693	8.1%	143	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	158	1.9%	77	Medium
Moved in 1969 or earlier	102	1.2%	56	Medium
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	2,550	29.9%	329	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	1,789	21.0%	305	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	687	8.1%	192	Medium
Moved in 1980 to 1989	97	1.1%	53	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	0	0.0%	0	
Moved in 1969 or earlier	9	0.1%	40	Low
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2003		N/A	
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>				
Total	8,528	100.0%	446	High
Utility gas	5,727	67.2%	416	High
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	113	1.3%	47	Medium
Electricity	2,552	29.9%	303	High
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%	0	
Coal or coke	13	0.2%	21	Low
Wood	0	0.0%	0	
Solar energy	0	0.0%	0	
Other fuel	0	0.0%	0	
No fuel used	124	1.5%	90	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>				
Total	8,528	100.0%	446	
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	124	1.5%	75	
1 vehicle available	990	11.6%	171	
2 vehicles available	1,346	15.8%	230	
3 vehicles available	650	7.6%	175	
4 vehicles available	178	2.1%	84	
5 or more vehicles available	109	1.3%	56	
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	660	7.7%	177	
1 vehicle available	2,407	28.2%	338	
2 vehicles available	1,406	16.5%	264	
3 vehicles available	477	5.6%	167	
4 vehicles available	157	1.8%	110	
5 or more vehicles available	24	0.3%	34	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	N/A		N/A	

**Data Note:** N/A means not available.

**2005-2009 ACS Estimate:** The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2005-2009 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2009. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

**Margin of error (MOE):** The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

**Reliability:** These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

-  High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
-  Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow—use with caution.
-  Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.