



# ACS Housing Summary

Chino

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>TOTALS</b>				
Total Population	80,132		2,806	■■■
Total Households	20,406		623	■■■
Total Housing Units	21,304		624	■■■
<b>OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VALUE</b>				
Total	14,621	100.0%	551	■■■
Less than \$10,000	11	0.1%	18	■
\$10,000 to \$14,999	10	0.1%	28	■
\$15,000 to \$19,999	33	0.2%	36	■
\$20,000 to \$24,999	15	0.1%	23	■
\$25,000 to \$29,999	0	0.0%	0	
\$30,000 to \$34,999	41	0.3%	47	■
\$35,000 to \$39,999	21	0.1%	24	■
\$40,000 to \$49,999	59	0.4%	41	■
\$50,000 to \$59,999	85	0.6%	53	■■
\$60,000 to \$69,999	69	0.5%	54	■
\$70,000 to \$79,999	29	0.2%	26	■
\$80,000 to \$89,999	61	0.4%	39	■■
\$90,000 to \$99,999	67	0.5%	44	■■
\$100,000 to \$124,999	140	1.0%	81	■■
\$125,000 to \$149,999	24	0.2%	23	■
\$150,000 to \$174,999	114	0.8%	62	■■
\$175,000 to \$199,999	118	0.8%	76	■■
\$200,000 to \$249,999	881	6.0%	189	■■
\$250,000 to \$299,999	913	6.2%	200	■■
\$300,000 to \$399,999	2,614	17.9%	271	■■■
\$400,000 to \$499,999	4,081	27.9%	387	■■■
\$500,000 to \$749,999	4,630	31.7%	396	■■■
\$750,000 to \$999,999	506	3.5%	146	■■
\$1,000,000 or more	99	0.7%	49	■■
Median Home Value	\$449,142		N/A	
Average Home Value	N/A		N/A	
<b>OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS</b>				
Total	14,621	100.0%	551	■■■
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt	12,464	85.2%	528	■■■
Second mortgage only	1,517	10.4%	259	■■■
Home equity loan only	2,378	16.3%	291	■■■
Both second mortgage and home equity loan	263	1.8%	109	■■
No second mortgage and no home equity loan	8,307	56.8%	486	■■■
Housing units without a mortgage	2,156	14.7%	265	■■■
<b>AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS</b>				
Housing units with a mortgage	N/A		N/A	
Housing units without a mortgage	N/A		N/A	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: ■■■ high ■■■ medium ■ low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



# ACS Housing Summary

Chino

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT</b>				
Total	5,786	100.0%	446	High
With cash rent	5,560	96.1%	436	High
Less than \$100	0	0.0%	0	
\$100 to \$149	17	0.3%	27	Low
\$150 to \$199	25	0.4%	36	Low
\$200 to \$249	85	1.5%	77	Low
\$250 to \$299	23	0.4%	28	Low
\$300 to \$349	36	0.6%	42	Low
\$350 to \$399	0	0.0%	0	
\$400 to \$449	15	0.3%	24	Low
\$450 to \$499	58	1.0%	64	Low
\$500 to \$549	29	0.5%	25	Low
\$550 to \$599	83	1.4%	52	Medium
\$600 to \$649	242	4.2%	129	Medium
\$650 to \$699	86	1.5%	78	Low
\$700 to \$749	95	1.6%	80	Low
\$750 to \$799	231	4.0%	89	Medium
\$800 to \$899	581	10.0%	146	Medium
\$900 to \$999	613	10.6%	188	Medium
\$1,000 to \$1,249	1,570	27.1%	282	High
\$1,250 to \$1,499	814	14.1%	197	Medium
\$1,500 to \$1,999	727	12.6%	166	Medium
\$2,000 or more	231	4.0%	101	Medium
No cash rent	226	3.9%	90	Medium
Median Contract Rent	\$1,089		N/A	
Average Contract Rent	N/A		N/A	
<b>RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF UTILITIES IN RENT</b>				
Total	5,786	100.0%	446	High
Pay extra for one or more utilities	5,432	93.9%	442	High
No extra payment for any utilities	354	6.1%	148	Medium
<b>HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>				
Total	21,304	100.0%	624	High
1, detached	15,126	71.0%	547	High
1, attached	1,217	5.7%	214	High
2	192	0.9%	95	Medium
3 or 4	833	3.9%	218	Medium
5 to 9	1,054	4.9%	263	Medium
10 to 19	863	4.1%	221	Medium
20 to 49	433	2.0%	151	Medium
50 or more	1,020	4.8%	165	High
Mobile home	567	2.7%	113	Medium
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%	0	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



# ACS Housing Summary

Chino

Cities in San Bernardino County














	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>				
Total	21,304	100.0%	624	High
Built 2005 or later	1,226	5.8%	218	High
Built 2000 to 2004	1,810	8.5%	239	High
Built 1990 to 1999	2,251	10.6%	267	High
Built 1980 to 1989	4,285	20.1%	376	High
Built 1970 to 1979	5,742	27.0%	382	High
Built 1960 to 1969	2,891	13.6%	347	High
Built 1950 to 1959	1,742	8.2%	245	High
Built 1940 to 1949	645	3.0%	173	Medium
Built 1939 or earlier	711	3.3%	186	Medium
Median Year Structure Built	1978		N/A	
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT</b>				
Total	20,406	100.0%	623	High
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	2,626	12.9%	319	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	4,089	20.0%	374	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	4,268	20.9%	384	High
Moved in 1980 to 1989	1,751	8.6%	221	High
Moved in 1970 to 1979	1,267	6.2%	182	High
Moved in 1969 or earlier	619	3.0%	149	Medium
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	2,739	13.4%	335	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	2,307	11.3%	336	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	587	2.9%	131	Medium
Moved in 1980 to 1989	140	0.7%	78	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	0	0.0%	0	
Moved in 1969 or earlier	13	0.1%	22	Low
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2001		N/A	
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>				
Total	20,406	100.0%	623	High
Utility gas	16,845	82.5%	623	High
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	207	1.0%	69	Medium
Electricity	2,823	13.8%	359	High
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%	0	
Coal or coke	0	0.0%	0	
Wood	149	0.7%	88	Medium
Solar energy	0	0.0%	0	
Other fuel	0	0.0%	0	
No fuel used	382	1.9%	146	Medium

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
<b>OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>				
Total	20,406	100.0%	623	
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	220	1.1%	83	
1 vehicle available	1,977	9.7%	286	
2 vehicles available	5,922	29.0%	456	
3 vehicles available	3,855	18.9%	341	
4 vehicles available	1,933	9.5%	264	
5 or more vehicles available	713	3.5%	159	
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	524	2.6%	147	
1 vehicle available	2,070	10.1%	311	
2 vehicles available	2,001	9.8%	314	
3 vehicles available	962	4.7%	200	
4 vehicles available	202	1.0%	80	
5 or more vehicles available	27	0.1%	40	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	N/A		N/A	

**Data Note:** N/A means not available.

**2005-2009 ACS Estimate:** The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2005-2009 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2009. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

**Margin of error (MOE):** The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

**Reliability:** These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

-  High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
-  Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow—use with caution.
-  Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.