



ACS Housing Summary

Barstow

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
TOTALS				
Total Population	25,043		1,679	High
Total Households	8,430		481	High
Total Housing Units	10,280		513	High
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VALUE				
Total	4,146	100.0%	328	High
Less than \$10,000	183	4.4%	87	Medium
\$10,000 to \$14,999	93	2.2%	82	Low
\$15,000 to \$19,999	63	1.5%	41	Medium
\$20,000 to \$24,999	55	1.3%	56	Low
\$25,000 to \$29,999	73	1.8%	56	Low
\$30,000 to \$34,999	62	1.5%	74	Low
\$35,000 to \$39,999	47	1.1%	37	Low
\$40,000 to \$49,999	56	1.4%	25	Medium
\$50,000 to \$59,999	69	1.7%	35	Medium
\$60,000 to \$69,999	115	2.8%	59	Medium
\$70,000 to \$79,999	86	2.1%	42	Medium
\$80,000 to \$89,999	149	3.6%	72	Medium
\$90,000 to \$99,999	192	4.6%	88	Medium
\$100,000 to \$124,999	466	11.2%	151	Medium
\$125,000 to \$149,999	319	7.7%	99	Medium
\$150,000 to \$174,999	597	14.4%	160	Medium
\$175,000 to \$199,999	428	10.3%	96	Medium
\$200,000 to \$249,999	559	13.5%	146	Medium
\$250,000 to \$299,999	235	5.7%	78	Medium
\$300,000 to \$399,999	192	4.6%	59	Medium
\$400,000 to \$499,999	15	0.4%	17	Low
\$500,000 to \$749,999	19	0.5%	19	Low
\$750,000 to \$999,999	15	0.4%	24	Low
\$1,000,000 or more	58	1.4%	69	Low
Median Home Value	\$151,884		N/A	
Average Home Value	N/A		N/A	
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Total	4,146	100.0%	328	High
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt	2,641	63.7%	274	High
Second mortgage only	205	4.9%	82	Medium
Home equity loan only	210	5.1%	79	Medium
Both second mortgage and home equity loan	60	1.4%	59	Low
No second mortgage and no home equity loan	2,167	52.3%	257	High
Housing units without a mortgage	1,505	36.3%	214	High
AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Housing units with a mortgage	N/A		N/A	
Housing units without a mortgage	N/A		N/A	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



ACS Housing Summary

Barstow

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT				
Total	4,284	100.0%	426	High
With cash rent	4,036	94.2%	413	High
Less than \$100	43	1.0%	50	Low
\$100 to \$149	46	1.1%	47	Low
\$150 to \$199	72	1.7%	62	Low
\$200 to \$249	76	1.8%	68	Low
\$250 to \$299	70	1.6%	59	Low
\$300 to \$349	158	3.7%	81	Medium
\$350 to \$399	247	5.8%	100	Medium
\$400 to \$449	231	5.4%	110	Medium
\$450 to \$499	221	5.2%	94	Medium
\$500 to \$549	139	3.2%	89	Medium
\$550 to \$599	415	9.7%	185	Medium
\$600 to \$649	386	9.0%	188	Medium
\$650 to \$699	386	9.0%	136	Medium
\$700 to \$749	243	5.7%	133	Medium
\$750 to \$799	302	7.0%	131	Medium
\$800 to \$899	340	7.9%	122	Medium
\$900 to \$999	288	6.7%	122	Medium
\$1,000 to \$1,249	288	6.7%	113	Medium
\$1,250 to \$1,499	38	0.9%	28	Low
\$1,500 to \$1,999	20	0.5%	28	Low
\$2,000 or more	25	0.6%	29	Low
No cash rent	249	5.8%	112	Medium
Median Contract Rent	\$639		N/A	
Average Contract Rent	N/A		N/A	
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF UTILITIES IN RENT				
Total	4,284	100.0%	426	High
Pay extra for one or more utilities	3,808	88.9%	400	High
No extra payment for any utilities	477	11.1%	168	Medium
HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
Total	10,280	100.0%	513	High
1, detached	5,746	55.9%	377	High
1, attached	244	2.4%	119	Medium
2	538	5.2%	156	Medium
3 or 4	901	8.8%	213	Medium
5 to 9	974	9.5%	202	Medium
10 to 19	582	5.7%	176	Medium
20 to 49	104	1.0%	43	Medium
50 or more	286	2.8%	108	Medium
Mobile home	875	8.5%	170	High
Boat, RV, van, etc.	31	0.3%	49	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



ACS Housing Summary

Barstow

Cities in San Bernardino County














	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT				
Total	10,280	100.0%	513	High
Built 2005 or later	202	2.0%	78	Medium
Built 2000 to 2004	119	1.2%	87	Low
Built 1990 to 1999	593	5.8%	133	Medium
Built 1980 to 1989	2,078	20.2%	323	High
Built 1970 to 1979	1,902	18.5%	337	High
Built 1960 to 1969	2,282	22.2%	293	High
Built 1950 to 1959	2,176	21.2%	292	High
Built 1940 to 1949	664	6.5%	142	Medium
Built 1939 or earlier	264	2.6%	71	Medium
Median Year Structure Built	1969		N/A	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT				
Total	8,430	100.0%	481	High
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	670	7.9%	187	Medium
Moved in 2000 to 2004	1,071	12.7%	167	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	1,090	12.9%	194	High
Moved in 1980 to 1989	501	5.9%	142	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	295	3.5%	94	Medium
Moved in 1969 or earlier	519	6.2%	118	Medium
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	2,442	29.0%	354	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	1,281	15.2%	270	Medium
Moved in 1990 to 1999	365	4.3%	132	Medium
Moved in 1980 to 1989	111	1.3%	66	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	36	0.4%	53	Low
Moved in 1969 or earlier	50	0.6%	46	Low
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2003		N/A	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL				
Total	8,430	100.0%	481	High
Utility gas	6,652	78.9%	443	High
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	87	1.0%	40	Medium
Electricity	1,520	18.0%	278	High
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	11	0.1%	14	Low
Coal or coke	0	0.0%	0	
Wood	95	1.1%	34	Medium
Solar energy	0	0.0%	0	
Other fuel	15	0.2%	81	Low
No fuel used	50	0.6%	45	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
Total	8,430	100.0%	481	
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	218	2.6%	99	
1 vehicle available	996	11.8%	184	
2 vehicles available	1,620	19.2%	231	
3 vehicles available	842	10.0%	152	
4 vehicles available	313	3.7%	116	
5 or more vehicles available	156	1.9%	87	
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	917	10.9%	221	
1 vehicle available	1,937	23.0%	327	
2 vehicles available	1,099	13.0%	239	
3 vehicles available	186	2.2%	95	
4 vehicles available	98	1.2%	62	
5 or more vehicles available	47	0.6%	56	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	N/A		N/A	

Data Note: N/A means not available.

2005-2009 ACS Estimate: The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2005-2009 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2009. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

Margin of error (MOE): The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

Reliability: These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

-  High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
-  Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow—use with caution.
-  Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.