



ACS Housing Summary

Apple Valley

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
TOTALS				
Total Population	68,715		2,715	High
Total Households	22,441		688	High
Total Housing Units	24,563		678	High
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VALUE				
Total	15,899	100.0%	595	High
Less than \$10,000	112	0.7%	58	Medium
\$10,000 to \$14,999	174	1.1%	68	Medium
\$15,000 to \$19,999	43	0.3%	30	Low
\$20,000 to \$24,999	107	0.7%	61	Medium
\$25,000 to \$29,999	62	0.4%	34	Medium
\$30,000 to \$34,999	103	0.6%	62	Medium
\$35,000 to \$39,999	19	0.1%	18	Low
\$40,000 to \$49,999	175	1.1%	99	Medium
\$50,000 to \$59,999	84	0.5%	51	Medium
\$60,000 to \$69,999	158	1.0%	73	Medium
\$70,000 to \$79,999	123	0.8%	68	Medium
\$80,000 to \$89,999	204	1.3%	72	Medium
\$90,000 to \$99,999	154	1.0%	75	Medium
\$100,000 to \$124,999	439	2.8%	117	Medium
\$125,000 to \$149,999	339	2.1%	95	Medium
\$150,000 to \$174,999	792	5.0%	195	Medium
\$175,000 to \$199,999	878	5.5%	184	Medium
\$200,000 to \$249,999	2,560	16.1%	305	High
\$250,000 to \$299,999	2,301	14.5%	299	High
\$300,000 to \$399,999	3,786	23.8%	365	High
\$400,000 to \$499,999	1,800	11.3%	244	High
\$500,000 to \$749,999	1,134	7.1%	192	High
\$750,000 to \$999,999	241	1.5%	98	Medium
\$1,000,000 or more	109	0.7%	69	Medium
Median Home Value	\$280,910		N/A	
Average Home Value	N/A		N/A	
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Total	15,899	100.0%	595	High
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt	12,004	75.5%	572	High
Second mortgage only	1,175	7.4%	210	High
Home equity loan only	1,816	11.4%	258	High
Both second mortgage and home equity loan	274	1.7%	124	Medium
No second mortgage and no home equity loan	8,740	55.0%	534	High
Housing units without a mortgage	3,895	24.5%	318	High
AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Housing units with a mortgage	N/A		N/A	
Housing units without a mortgage	N/A		N/A	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



ACS Housing Summary

Apple Valley

Cities in San Bernardino County

	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT				
Total	6,542	100.0%	526	High
With cash rent	6,153	94.1%	515	High
Less than \$100	78	1.2%	93	Low
\$100 to \$149	33	0.5%	37	Low
\$150 to \$199	3	0.0%	23	Low
\$200 to \$249	59	0.9%	52	Low
\$250 to \$299	6	0.1%	10	Low
\$300 to \$349	47	0.7%	73	Low
\$350 to \$399	43	0.7%	43	Low
\$400 to \$449	157	2.4%	98	Medium
\$450 to \$499	210	3.2%	121	Medium
\$500 to \$549	488	7.5%	213	Medium
\$550 to \$599	333	5.1%	146	Medium
\$600 to \$649	417	6.4%	138	Medium
\$650 to \$699	414	6.3%	151	Medium
\$700 to \$749	415	6.3%	130	Medium
\$750 to \$799	693	10.6%	190	Medium
\$800 to \$899	429	6.6%	118	Medium
\$900 to \$999	482	7.4%	139	Medium
\$1,000 to \$1,249	1,112	17.0%	253	Medium
\$1,250 to \$1,499	316	4.8%	113	Medium
\$1,500 to \$1,999	337	5.2%	137	Medium
\$2,000 or more	81	1.2%	51	Medium
No cash rent	389	5.9%	133	Medium
Median Contract Rent	\$777		N/A	
Average Contract Rent	N/A		N/A	
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF UTILITIES IN RENT				
Total	6,542	100.0%	526	High
Pay extra for one or more utilities	6,351	97.1%	519	High
No extra payment for any utilities	191	2.9%	78	Medium
HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
Total	24,563	100.0%	678	High
1, detached	18,705	76.2%	611	High
1, attached	686	2.8%	144	Medium
2	665	2.7%	198	Medium
3 or 4	1,676	6.8%	283	High
5 to 9	858	3.5%	250	Medium
10 to 19	214	0.9%	89	Medium
20 to 49	235	1.0%	108	Medium
50 or more	163	0.7%	70	Medium
Mobile home	1,326	5.4%	188	High
Boat, RV, van, etc.	37	0.2%	32	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst



ACS Housing Summary

Apple Valley

Cities in San Bernardino County














	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT				
Total	24,563	100.0%	678	High
Built 2005 or later	1,788	7.3%	243	High
Built 2000 to 2004	2,896	11.8%	318	High
Built 1990 to 1999	3,644	14.8%	349	High
Built 1980 to 1989	8,868	36.1%	534	High
Built 1970 to 1979	3,430	14.0%	394	High
Built 1960 to 1969	1,764	7.2%	307	High
Built 1950 to 1959	1,605	6.5%	292	High
Built 1940 to 1949	356	1.4%	131	Medium
Built 1939 or earlier	212	0.9%	117	Medium
Median Year Structure Built	1986		N/A	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT				
Total	22,441	100.0%	688	High
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	3,032	13.5%	318	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	5,479	24.4%	449	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	4,316	19.2%	374	High
Moved in 1980 to 1989	2,252	10.0%	267	High
Moved in 1970 to 1979	632	2.8%	146	Medium
Moved in 1969 or earlier	189	0.8%	66	Medium
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2005 or later	3,793	16.9%	424	High
Moved in 2000 to 2004	1,831	8.2%	326	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	779	3.5%	191	Medium
Moved in 1980 to 1989	82	0.4%	34	Medium
Moved in 1970 to 1979	4	0.0%	15	Low
Moved in 1969 or earlier	52	0.2%	54	Low
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2002		N/A	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL				
Total	22,441	100.0%	688	High
Utility gas	19,265	85.8%	684	High
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	488	2.2%	128	Medium
Electricity	1,770	7.9%	282	High
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	12	0.1%	42	Low
Coal or coke	0	0.0%	0	
Wood	775	3.5%	177	Medium
Solar energy	0	0.0%	0	
Other fuel	78	0.3%	56	Low
No fuel used	53	0.2%	44	Low

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey

Reliability: High Medium Low

May 12, 2011

Made with Esri Business Analyst




	2005-2009 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
Total	22,441	100.0%	688	
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	384	1.7%	115	
1 vehicle available	3,467	15.4%	309	
2 vehicles available	6,755	30.1%	479	
3 vehicles available	3,317	14.8%	360	
4 vehicles available	1,232	5.5%	203	
5 or more vehicles available	744	3.3%	176	
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	814	3.6%	223	
1 vehicle available	2,681	11.9%	389	
2 vehicles available	2,060	9.2%	302	
3 vehicles available	737	3.3%	201	
4 vehicles available	208	0.9%	97	
5 or more vehicles available	42	0.2%	42	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	N/A		N/A	

Data Note: N/A means not available.

2005-2009 ACS Estimate: The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2005-2009 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2009. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

Margin of error (MOE): The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

Reliability: These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

-  High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
-  Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow—use with caution.
-  Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.